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## Supplementary Note 1

For: The genome sequence of segmental allotetraploid peanut Arachis hypogaea

## Evidence of the use and movement of wild Arachis species by ancient inhabitants of South America


#### Abstract

All Arachis species produce soft nutritious seeds, an attractive food that have long been used by humans. Archaeological remains and remnant populations of Arachis species far from their natural distributions testify to the human use and cultivation of Arachis species since prehistoric times. In this Supplemental Note we present the most compelling evidence with which we are familiar. In the annotated map (Supplementary Note Fig. 1-1; see below), inferred places of origin and movement are indicated by stars and dashed-line arrows respectively. Solid circles and distributions outlined by dashed lines indicate anthropogenic populations. Dotted circles indicate archeological remains of Arachis fruits.


It is important to emphasize that the topography of the regions that separate the areas of origin and derived locations present great difficulties for natural dispersion of Arachis seeds. This is because of the unusual reproductive biology of the genus; whilst the flowers develop above ground, a special 'peg' structure pushes the young pod underground, where development is completed (Smith 1950). This limits the usual dispersion of seeds to within an area of roughly 1 m in diameter covered by the mother plant. Therefore, populations are quite static over long periods of time: over a thousand years, they can usually move only about 1 km . Rarely, water-driven soil erosion will disperse seeds downhill. This pattern of dispersal has led to the distribution of species being heavily influenced by hydrographic basins (Krapovickas and Gregory 2007).

Red: Fragments of a peanut hull (morphologically compatible with fruits of wild species) radiocarbon dated to $\sim 8,500$ years before present were recovered from a buried house in the Ñanchoc valley, pacific coast of Peru (Dillehay et al. 2007). The sites at which they were recovered are far removed from the known range of wild Arachis. The closest wild populations (A. williamsii Krapov. \& W.C. Gregory, A. trinitensis Krapov. \& W.C. Gregory) are known from the Mamoré River in Bolivia, on the other side of the Andean Cordillera whose passes are above 4500 m in elevation, 1500 km distant from the Pacific coast (Krapovickas and Gregory 2007). Since the Valley is not a domestication center, the
adoption of peanut and other crops suggests that these plants must have been cultivated elsewhere earlier than this date, after which groups of local traders, mobile horticulturalists or others brought them into the valley. With the Andean barrier, the transportation by humans is very evident in this case.

White: Arachis villosulicarpa Hoehne is characterized by its large (10-18mm) S-shaped seed and pegs much stronger than can be found in wild species. It is only known as cultivated by indigenous groups of west-central Mato Grosso, like the Nambiquara people. With the exception of this species, none of the other species of the botanical section Extranervosae has ever been collected west of the Paraguay River, which from its source, constitutes the western limit for the section. The closest related wild species is $A$. pietrarelli Krapov. \& W.C. Gregory that lives in a small region to the SE of the localities in which $A$. villosulicarpa were found. In all the populations collected, the natives spoken to had no knowledge of this species in the wild state, each tribe maintaining their own seed (Krapovickas and Gregory 2007). All evidence supports this species as an independent domestication of a diploid Arachis species.

Orange: Arachis stenosperma Krapov. \& W.C. Gregory is characterized by the long, narrow, cylindrical fruit and seeds. It occurs in the southeast part of the state of Mato Grosso, within the area where other species of the botanical section Arachis naturally occur. It also grows on the Atlantic coast far from any other species of the section, where it is found in soils of almost pure sand, from Rio de Janeiro to Paranaguá in the state of Paraná (Brazil). This disjunct range was interpreted as an obvious case of cultivation and transportation by humans from the Mato Grosso region to the Atlantic coast of Brazil. It is interesting to note that on the Atlantic coast it was always found in ruderal habitats, while in Mato Grosso, some populations grew in a relatively undisturbed environment. It was hypothesized that seed transportation may have occurred through a pre-Hispanic road called "Peabirá", that connected the Paraná River with the Atlantic coast (JFM Valls pers. commun. in Krapovickas and Gregory 2007).

Yellow. Arachis ipaënsis Krapov. \& W.C. Gregory, the B genome donor of peanut (Bertioli et al. 2016), is known from only one population located at $\sim 600 \mathrm{~km}$ distant from any other species of the B genome (Krapovickas and Gregory 2007). No ancient nor present river exists to explain the movement of this species in a NE-NW direction by hydrochory. Niche modelling of the $B$ species could not explain the location of $A$. ipaënsis by natural dispersion at the site in which it was collected (Seijo et al. unpublished). Archeological peanut shells which closely resemble those of $A$. magna Krapov., W.C. Gregory and C.E. Simpson, A. ipaënsis, and/or A. monticola Krapov. and Rigoni were excavated in the Casma and Bermejo Valleys, Peru, from a layer where there was no indication of the presence of corn. These shells were dated at 1800 to 1500 B.C. (Simpson et al. 2001). These findings strongly indicate movement and use of the B genome wild species by humans.

Black: In a dig near Casma Valley, shells were found that closely resemble A. duranensis Krapov. and W.C. Gregory dated at about 1800 to 1500 B.C. (D.J. Banks, pers. commun. in Simpson et al. 2001). Movement of $A$. duranensis seeds by humans were also proposed to account for the geographical distributions of polymorphisms in chloroplast (Grabiele et al. 2012) and genomic DNA (this work) for this species.

Pink: Arachis monticola Krapov. and Rigoni has been found at only three different sites in two unconnected valleys on the sources of the Bermejo (Río Grande de Jujuy) and Salado (Rio Juramento) Rivers in NW Argentina. This wild form of peanut was only found in places that were occupied and cultivated by ancient natives at altitudes higher than either of the diploid progenitor species occur.


Supplementary Note Fig. 1-1. Annotated map of evidence of cultivation of Arachis in prehistory, inferred places of origin and movement are indicated by stars and dashed-line arrows respectively. Solid circles and distributions outlined by dashed lines indicate anthropogenic populations. Dotted circles indicate archeological remains of Arachis fruits. Please refer to main text for color keys and explanations. The figure was generated using Natural Earth.
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## Supplementary Note 2

For: The genome sequence of segmental allotetraploid peanut Arachis hypogaea

## Repetitive DNA

Identifying repetitive DNA in the Tifrunner genome. Mobile elements were identified using a number of homology and de novo structural pattern finding algorithms and manual curation.

LTR retrotransposons were identified in the assembled genome sequence. Both LTR_FINDER (Xu and Wang 2007) and LTRharvest (Ellinghaus et al. 2008) were used with default parameters except with minimum LTR length and minimum distance between LTRs of 50 bp and minimum LTR size of 50 bp with LTR_Finder for identifying small LTR retrotransposons. Sequences were extracted and grouped using BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1990) and Perl scripts. Retrotransposon sequences were manually classified and annotated with the aid of the output from LTR_FINDER and hmmsearch (Eddy 2011). False positive annotations including tandem repeats, fragmental elements and other sequences were discarded.

Long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) were identified using tblastn homology searches with reference LINE reverse transcriptase domains as queries (Wicker et al. 2007). All significant hits ( E -value $<10^{-6}$ ) and the flanking sequences ( 5 kb for each side) were extracted and inspected. Complete LINEs were determined by the terminal motifs including poly-A (L1 group) and short repeat (RTE group) and target site duplication (TSD). To identify potential short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), regions flanking polyA sequences were extracted using a custom Perl script. Candidates were also identified by the SINE-Finder software (Wenke et al. 2011) Sequences were manually inspected for poly-A tails and TSDs to identify bona fide elements. Elements were grouped using BLASTN, and representatives selected.

DNA transposons were detected by using the conserved domains of different DNA transposon superfamilies as queries in tblastn homology searches. Similarities with e-value $<10^{-6}$ and their 20 Kb flanking sequences ( 10 Kb each side) were extracted and aligned to define the boundaries. Additionally, MITE-Hunter (Han and Wessler 2010) was used to identify the small DNA transposons that encode no DNA transposases. Endogenous plant pararetroviruses (EPRVs) were identified using homology searches and exemplars extracted for characterization using Perl scripts. Identified transposons were compared with our previous
transposon sequences in the diploid wild species (Bertioli et al. 2016). The names of homologous transposons were used except adding 'Ah' before the names.

To determine the transposon distributions, all transposons were combined together and used as a library to screen the genome using RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org/) with default parameters except with -nolow and -norna to not mask low-complexity sequences and rDNA, respectively. The output files were summarized using a custom Perl script, and regions masked by more than one sequence in the repeat library were recognized and counted only once. Base-pair counts excluded gaps.

Identifying active transposable elements though their Circular DNAs. Transposable elements generate extrachromosomal circular DNAs when active (Lanciano et al. 2017). Extrachromosomal circular DNAs were isolated from genomic DNA using the PlasmidSafe DNase (Epicentre, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions except that the $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubation was performed for 17 h . Circular DNAs were then amplified by random rolling circle amplification using the Illustra TempliPhi kit (GE Healthcare, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions except that the incubation was performed for 65 h at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amplified DNA was used to prepare libraries and sequenced using the MiSeq platform (Illumina, USA) using 250 bp paired-end sequencing.

After quality filtering, to remove any read originating from organelle circular genomes, sequence data was mapped against the mitochondria and chloroplast genomes using Bowtie2 version 2.2.2 71 with sensitive local mapping. Unmapped reads were then mapped against the appropriate reference genomes using parameters: -sensitive local, -k 1. Finally, the bam alignment files were normalized and visualized with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) software (Broad Institute, https://www.broadinstitute.org/igv/). Sequence reads were also assembled de novo using the A5-miseq pipeline (Coil et al. 2014). For each library, fasta and bam files were obtained and the idxstats module of SAMtools was used to determine the read number corresponding to each assembled scaffold. The coverage data was normalized by the total number of reads used for the de novo assembly. Filtered scaffolds were annotated using a BLAST analysis ( $-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{m} 8$ ) against organelle genomes and the databases of repetitive DNAs allowing for one hit per scaffold (-b 1 -v 1 options) and for an e-value $<10^{-2}$.
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## Supplementary Note 3

For: The genome sequence of segmental allotetraploid peanut Arachis hypogaea

## Statistical analysis.

The comparison of expression of homeologous gene pairs was done using the DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014)) package in R based on the negative binomial distribution. Only genes with $\log _{2}$ fold change $>=1$, Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted $P<0.05$ were retained. The comparison of highly expressed homeologous gene pairs between subgenomes in different tissues was carried out using binomial test with the odds of a subgenome being more highly expressed at 0.5 probability, $P<0.05$ were considered significant. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was carried out using topGO (Alexa et al. 2006 ; Alexa et al 2016) an R Bioconductor package with Fisher's exact test; only GO terms with a $P<0.05$ (FDR < 0.05) were considered significant. Exact $P$ values are listed in Dataset 2 (hosted at https://doi.org/10.25739/hb5x-wx74, Cyverse and Peanutbase). The statistical analysis of genome methylation was carried out using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $P$ values are listed on Supplementary Fig. 18.
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## Supplementary Tables for

The genome sequence of segmental allotetraploid peanut Arachis hypogaea

# Supplementary Table 1 <br> Summary assembly statistics for chromosome scale assembly 

| Scaffold total | 384 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Contig total | 4,037 |
| Scaffold length total | $2,556.3 \mathrm{Mb}$ |
| Chromosome Sequence | $2,534.1 \mathrm{Mb}$ |
| Contig sequence total | $2,552.5 \mathrm{Mb}(0.1 \%$ gap $)$ |
| Scaffold N/L50 | $9 / 134.9 \mathrm{Mb}$ |
| Contig N/L50 | $461 / 1.5 \mathrm{Mb}$ |

## Supplementary Table 2 <br> Chromosomal pseudomolecule and scaffold metrics for genome assembly

| Scaffold name | No. Contigs | Size (bp) | \%GC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arahy. 15 | 150 | 160,879,708 | 36.59\% |
| Arahy. 19 | 233 | 158,625,764 | 36.59\% |
| Arahy. 16 | 222 | 154,808,347 | 36.61\% |
| Arahy. 11 | 163 | 149,299,306 | 36.90\% |
| Arahy. 13 | 174 | 146,725,006 | 36.24\% |
| Arahy. 20 | 183 | 143,980,330 | 36.75\% |
| Arahy. 03 | 180 | 143,813,506 | 35.94\% |
| Arahy. 14 | 152 | 143,237,272 | 36.49\% |
| Arahy. 18 | 206 | 135,150,084 | 36.65\% |
| Arahy. 17 | 163 | 134,922,436 | 36.72\% |
| Arahy. 04 | 238 | 128,801,742 | 36.54\% |
| Arahy. 12 | 136 | 120,579,088 | 36.10\% |
| Arahy. 09 | 130 | 120,519,698 | 36.32\% |
| Arahy. 10 | 236 | 117,088,237 | 36.15\% |
| Arahy. 05 | 173 | 115,930,344 | 36.06\% |
| Arahy. 06 | 213 | 115,504,342 | 36.48\% |
| Arahy. 01 | 157 | 112,420,854 | 35.90\% |
| Arahy. 02 | 195 | 102,981,163 | 35.91\% |
| Arahy. 07 | 113 | 81,119,488 | 35.79\% |
| Arahy. 08 | 45 | 51,897,010 | 33.47\% |
| 21 | 35 | 1,982,220 | 24.95\% |
| 22 | 24 | 1,569,740 | 44.68\% |
| 23 | 35 | 1,519,527 | 38.42\% |
| 25 | 23 | 983,129 | 31.34\% |
| 26 | 17 | 868,297 | 24.55\% |
| 27 | 19 | 745,707 | 41.34\% |
| 28 | 3 | 672,389 | 41.71\% |
| 29 | 13 | 553,903 | 30.76\% |
| 30 | 13 | 419,763 | 38.68\% |
| 31 | 3 | 322,620 | 27.05\% |
| 32 | 10 | 267,858 | 51.22\% |
| 33 | 5 | 202,291 | 31.99\% |
| 34 | 6 | 195,354 | 35.09\% |
| 35 | 1 | 181,829 | 32.24\% |
| 36 | 1 | 169,023 | 36.91\% |
| 37 | 1 | 168,196 | 39.82\% |
| 38 | 4 | 160,818 | 22.76\% |
| 39 | 4 | 160,336 | 45.81\% |
| 40 | 2 | 160,171 | 26.80\% |
| 42 | 3 | 128,001 | 28.68\% |
| 43 | 1 | 107,484 | 33.73\% |
| 44 | 3 | 102,637 | 40.57\% |

## Supplementary Table 3 The summary of repeat annotation

| Transposable element | Copy number ( $\times 10^{3}$ ) | Coverage (bp) | Content (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class I | 1,827.41 | 1,644,831,268 | 64.43 |
| LTR retrotransposon | 1,694.04 | 1,578,596,840 | 61.83 |
| Ty1/copia | 159.55 | 120,565,582 | 4.72 |
| Ty3/gypsy | 707.58 | 942,750,004 | 36.93 |
| TRIM | 16.42 | 5,225,833 | 0.20 |
| other | 810.48 | 595,215,524 | 23.32 |
| Non-LTR retrotransposon | 133.37 | 72,064,474 | 2.82 |
| LINEs | 116.53 | 69,834,293 | 2.74 |
| SINEs | 16.84 | 2,256,947 | 0.09 |
| Class II | 592.33 | 282,447,447 | 11.06 |
| CACTA | 220.61 | 141,956,302 | 5.56 |
| Harbinger/PIF | 2.63 | 1,703,651 | 0.07 |
| hAT | 67.24 | 25,712,050 | 1.01 |
| Helitron | 65.50 | 22,345,038 | 0.88 |
| mutator | 236.34 | 92,387,871 | 3.62 |
| Pararetrovirus | 2.02 | 6,575,859 | 0.26 |
| Tandem repeats |  | 36,911,444 | 1.45 |
| Total TE coverage | 2,421.75 | 1,890,047,658 | 74.03 |

Note: Overlapping regions were counted only once for the Total TE coverage. Total TE coverage excludes tandem repeats.

## Supplementary Table 4

Summary of repeat annotation for each Chromosome

|  | RNA transposon |  |  | DNA transposon |  |  | Total transposon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chromosome | Copy number (X103) | Coverage (bp) | Content <br> (\%) | Copy number (X103) | Coverage (bp) | Content <br> (\%) | Copy number (X10 ${ }^{3}$ ) | Coverage (bp) | Content <br> (\%) |
| Arahy. 01 | 79.07 | 70,434,548 | 62.74 | 26.2 | 13,076,060 | 11.64 | 105.47 | 83,510,608 | 74.39 |
| Arahy. 02 | 70.21 | 63,373,940 | 61.68 | 26.65 | 12,370,699 | 12.04 | 95.86 | 75,744,639 | 73.72 |
| Arahy. 03 | 99.73 | 87,591,932 | 61.00 | 34.84 | 16,914,242 | 11.78 | 134.57 | 104,506,174 | 72.78 |
| Arahy. 04 | 91.19 | 85,380,662 | 66.42 | 29.51 | 14,862,594 | 11.56 | 120.70 | 100,243,256 | 77.98 |
| Arahy. 05 | 79.25 | 70,836,832 | 61.19 | 29.14 | 13,593,359 | 11.74 | 108.39 | 84,430,191 | 72.94 |
| Arahy. 06 | 79.41 | 73,565,858 | 63.81 | 27.29 | 13,377,300 | 11.60 | 106.71 | 86,943,158 | 75.42 |
| Arahy. 07 | 56.853 | 48,981,019 | 60.46 | 21.00 | 9,881,427 | 12.20 | 77.85 | 58,862,446 | 72.66 |
| Arahy. 08 | 29.57 | 19,463,094 | 37.54 | 18.87 | 6,334,441 | 12.22 | 48.43 | 25,797,535 | 49.76 |
| Arahy. 09 | 86.36 | 77,996,439 | 64.79 | 28.09 | 14,209,957 | 11.80 | 114.45 | 92,206,396 | 76.59 |
| Arahy. 10 | 80.97 | 75,960,376 | 65.00 | 26.57 | 13,353,587 | 11.43 | 107.54 | 89,313,963 | 76.43 |
| Arahy.1-10 | 752.60 | 673,584,700 | 61.89 | 267.35 | 127,973,666 | 11.76 | 1,019.95 | 801,558,366 | 73.65 |
| Arahy. 11 | 113.00 | 104,453,996 | 70.04 | 31.68 | 15,799,513 | 10.59 | 144.68 | 120,253,509 | 80.63 |
| Arahy. 12 | 86.91 | 77,157,318 | 64.06 | 29.19 | 13,380,777 | 11.11 | 116.11 | 90,538,095 | 75.17 |
| Arahy. 13 | 101.63 | 89,753,035 | 61.25 | 36.01 | 15,854,493 | 10.82 | 137.64 | 105,607,528 | 72.07 |
| Arahy. 14 | 106.32 | 96,636,566 | 67.54 | 32.66 | 15,690,775 | 10.97 | 138.97 | 112,327,341 | 78.50 |
| Arahy. 15 | 121.82 | 109,950,628 | 68.42 | 34.31 | 15,967,351 | 9.94 | 156.13 | 125,917,979 | 78.36 |
| Arahy. 16 | 113.14 | 103,230,754 | 66.79 | 35.37 | 16,864,452 | 10.91 | 148.51 | 120,095,206 | 77.70 |
| Arahy. 17 | 99.71 | 91,015,261 | 67.54 | 28.88 | 13,419,852 | 9.96 | 128.60 | 104,435,113 | 77.50 |
| Arahy. 18 | 99.34 | 90,469,021 | 67.05 | 29.57 | 14,066,832 | 10.43 | 128.91 | 104,535,853 | 77.48 |
| Arahy. 19 | 118.32 | 108,210,961 | 68.32 | 34.47 | 17,528,860 | 11.07 | 152.80 | 125,739,821 | 79.39 |
| Arahy. 20 | 107.56 | 99,325,152 | 69.07 | 31.50 | 15,213,929 | 10.58 | 139.06 | 114,539,081 | 79.65 |
| Arahy.11-20 | 1,067.75 | 970,202,692 | 67.08 | 323.65 | 153,786,834 | 10.63 | 1,391.40 | 1,123,989,526 | 77.71 |

## Supplementary Table 5 <br> Summary of genome methylation

|  | Number of methylated <br> Cytosines | Number of unmethylated <br> Cytosines | Percentage <br> methylated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-subgenome | 42027680 | 13269654 |  |
| CG | 40507958 | 25135604 | 76.00 |
| CHG | 23827157 | 439972180 | 61.71 |
| CHH |  |  | 5.14 |
| B-subgenome | 57173235 | 13855996 | 80.49 |
| CG | 53749002 | 28764160 | 65.14 |
| CHG | 31790492 | 544836904 | 5.51 |
| CHH |  |  |  |
| Both subgenomes | 99200915 | 27125650 | 78.53 |
| CG | 94256960 | 53899764 | 63.62 |
| CHG | 55617649 | 984809084 | 5.35 |

# Supplementary Table 6. Single nucleotide polymorphisms assignable to ancestral A/B genomes in Tifrunner chromosomes 

Whole Chromosomes - assignable single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

|  | A SNPs | B SNPs | Proportion B |  | A SNPs | B SNPs | Proportion A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arahy. 01 | 572,704 | 13,090 | 0.0223 | Arahy. 11 | 4,664 | 660,427 | 0.0070 |
| Arahy. 02 | 455,861 | 13,819 | 0.0294 | Arahy. 12 | 15,711 | 526,486 | 0.0290 |
| Arahy. 03 | 696,004 | 33,160 | 0.0455 | Arahy. 13 | 6,926 | 732,512 | 0.0094 |
| Arahy. 04 | 546,306 | 30,670 | 0.0532 | Arahy. 14 | 3,192 | 666,930 | 0.0048 |
| Arahy. 05 | 561,488 | 17,020 | 0.0294 | Arahy. 15 | 40,182 | 672,022 | 0.0564 |
| Arahy. 06 | 546,081 | 19,016 | 0.0337 | Arahy. 16 | 19,975 | 618,253 | 0.0313 |
| Arahy. 07 | 439,632 | 12,546 | 0.0277 | Arahy. 17 | 4,219 | 597,357 | 0.0070 |
| Arahy. 08 | 393,684 | 10,424 | 0.0258 | Arahy. 18 | 7,449 | 578,008 | 0.0127 |
| Arahy. 09 | 581,794 | 19,326 | 0.0321 | Arahy. 19 | 4,260 | 713,842 | 0.0059 |
| Arahy. 10 | 561,733 | 15,037 | 0.0261 | Arahy. 20 | 3,289 | 681,023 | 0.0048 |
| A sub-gen. sum | 5,355,287 | 184,108 | 0.0332 | $B$ subgen. sum | 109,867 | 6,446,860 | 0.0168 |

## Supplementary Table 7 <br> Assignable single nucleotide polymorphisms in chromosome segments derived from ancestral homeologs



## Supplementary Table 8

Estimated DNA identities by chromosome of different $A$. duranensis accessions to the A subgenome of $A$. hypogaea cv . Tifrunner* (using averages of Illumina whole genome sequences)

| Accession | Voucher | Location | Arahy. 01 | Arahy. 02 | Arahy. 03 | Arahy. 04 | Arahy. 05 | Arahy. 06 | Arahy. 07 | Arahy. 08 | Arahy. 09 | Arahy. 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pl-468202 | KGBSPSc 30065 | Rio Seco | 99.57 | 99.31 | 99.25 | 99.45 | 99.45 | 99.45 | 99.39 | 99.20 | 99.35 | 99.53 |
| PI-468201 | KGBSPSc 30067 | Rio Seco | 99.57 | 99.31 | 99.25 | 99.45 | 99.44 | 99.45 | 99.38 | 99.19 | 99.37 | 99.53 |
| S2741 | S2741 | Rio Seco | 99.51 | 99.17 | 99.08 | 99.32 | 99.34 | 99.34 | 99.26 | 99.08 | 99.24 | 99.46 |
| V14167 | V14167 | Salta city | 99.39 | 99.24 | 98.90 | 99.09 | 99.21 | 99.12 | 98.93 | 98.88 | 99.27 | 99.42 |
| ICG8138 | ? | Rio Arenales, Salta | 99.35 | 99.11 | 98.68 | 98.98 | 99.64 | 99.05 | 98.76 | 98.88 | 99.18 | 99.39 |
| PI-468323 | KGBSPSc 30077 | Chuquisaca, Bolivia | 98.89 | 99.19 | 98.98 | 99.19 | 99.28 | 99.12 | 99.01 | 98.79 | 99.06 | 99.19 |
| PI-475845 | KGBSPSc 30070 | Tarija, Bolivia | 98.14 | 98.15 | 98.04 | 98.27 | 98.29 | 98.22 | 98.19 | 97.91 | 98.06 | 98.25 |

Supplementary Table 9 Exome similarity metrics of $A$. duranensis accessions to $A$ subgenome of $A$. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner per chromosome*

| Accession | Voucher | Arahy. 01 | Arahy. 02 | Arahy. 03 | Arahy. 04 | Arahy. 05 | Arahy. 06 | Arahy. 07 | Arahy. 08 | Arahy. 09 | Arahy. 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pl-468201 | KGBSPSc 30065 | 0.9227 | 0.8885 | 0.8867 | 0.9068 | 0.9052 | 0.9070 | 0.9056 | 0.9069 | 0.8954 | 0.9146 |
| Pl-468202 | KGBSPSc 30067 | 0.9164 | 0.8899 | 0.8880 | 0.9064 | 0.9061 | 0.9060 | 0.9038 | 0.9057 | 0.8953 | 0.9131 |
| Grif-14269 | WiSvg 1296 | 0.9163 | 0.8992 | 0.8844 | 0.8968 | 0.8971 | 0.9050 | 0.9078 | 0.9042 | 0.8892 | 0.9062 |
| Pl-497269 | KSSc 38905 | 0.9139 | 0.9001 | 0.8827 | 0.8955 | 0.8996 | 0.9038 | 0.9045 | 0.9038 | 0.8906 | 0.9070 |
| Pl-468200 | KGBSPSc 30064 | 0.9131 | 0.8962 | 0.8739 | 0.8855 | 0.8941 | 0.8839 | 0.8801 | 0.8974 | 0.9003 | 0.9144 |
| Grif-14265 | WiSVg 1272 | 0.9121 | 0.8978 | 0.8736 | 0.8797 | 0.8873 | 0.8824 | 0.8763 | 0.8956 | 0.8998 | 0.9128 |
| Pl-475886 | KSBScC 36006 | 0.9110 | 0.9011 | 0.8746 | 0.8806 | 0.9208 | 0.8827 | 0.8780 | 0.8949 | 0.9019 | 0.9121 |
|  | V14167 | 0.9110 | 0.8991 | 0.8759 | 0.8834 | 0.8945 | 0.8846 | 0.8797 | 0.8964 | 0.9024 | 0.9101 |
| Pl-497263 | ScV 21764 | 0.9109 | 0.9015 | 0.8759 | 0.8826 | 0.8929 | 0.8843 | 0.8798 | 0.8969 | 0.9026 | 0.9135 |
| PI-468198 | KGBSPSc 30061 | 0.9109 | 0.8941 | 0.8695 | 0.8802 | 0.8883 | 0.8802 | 0.8739 | 0.8937 | 0.8988 | 0.9115 |
| Pl-475882 | KSBScC 36002 | 0.9105 | 0.8964 | 0.8793 | 0.8799 | 0.9026 | 0.8877 | 0.8876 | 0.8985 | 0.8928 | 0.9093 |
| Pl-468197 | KGBSPSc 30060 | 0.9101 | 0.8937 | 0.8710 | 0.8796 | 0.8883 | 0.8810 | 0.8748 | 0.8941 | 0.8992 | 0.9114 |
| Pl-497262 | ScV 21763 | 0.9099 | 0.8993 | 0.8736 | 0.8839 | 0.8890 | 0.8835 | 0.8780 | 0.8932 | 0.9015 | 0.9117 |
|  | Se2741 | 0.9088 | 0.8918 | 0.8815 | 0.8989 | 0.9025 | 0.9002 | 0.8986 | 0.9006 | 0.8903 | 0.9055 |
| Pl-497264 | ScV 21766 | 0.9082 | 0.8983 | 0.8722 | 0.8805 | 0.8905 | 0.8812 | 0.8763 | 0.8944 | 0.8994 | 0.9132 |
| Pl-475884 | KSBScC 36004 | 0.9068 | 0.8929 | 0.8708 | 0.8782 | 0.8886 | 0.8826 | 0.8746 | 0.8936 | 0.8963 | 0.9056 |
| PI-262133 | GKP 10038 | 0.9055 | 0.8928 | 0.8678 | 0.8742 | 0.9387 | 0.8756 | 0.8703 | 0.8888 | 0.8953 | 0.9053 |
|  | S2822 | 0.9049 | 0.8962 | 0.8690 | 0.8821 | 0.8982 | 0.8833 | 0.8737 | 0.8920 | 0.8882 | 0.9054 |
| Pl-475883 | KSBScC 36003 | 0.9038 | 0.8914 | 0.8712 | 0.8712 | 0.8947 | 0.8790 | 0.8786 | 0.8921 | 0.8880 | 0.9039 |
|  | S2856 | 0.9021 | 0.8987 | 0.8687 | 0.8825 | 0.8927 | 0.8834 | 0.8781 | 0.8942 | 0.8973 | 0.9092 |
| Pl-497265 | ScV 21767 | 0.9006 | 0.8961 | 0.8693 | 0.8792 | 0.8888 | 0.8807 | 0.8743 | 0.8937 | 0.8978 | 0.9097 |
| Pl-475844 | KGBSPSc 30069 | 0.8956 | 0.8909 | 0.8702 | 0.8732 | 0.8974 | 0.8803 | 0.8801 | 0.8923 | 0.8854 | 0.9044 |
| Pl-497270 | KSSc 38906 | 0.8935 | 0.8910 | 0.8695 | 0.8687 | 0.8930 | 0.8814 | 0.8729 | 0.8887 | 0.8873 | 0.8982 |
|  | S2848 | 0.8922 | 0.8845 | 0.8685 | 0.8694 | 0.8931 | 0.8654 | 0.8718 | 0.8834 | 0.8787 | 0.8955 |
| Pl-468324 | KGBSPSc 30078 | 0.8906 | 0.8821 | 0.8671 | 0.8738 | 0.9321 | 0.8744 | 0.8781 | 0.8897 | 0.8950 | 0.9019 |
| Pl-475847 | KGBSPSc 30072 | 0.8671 | 0.8813 | 0.8705 | 0.8868 | 0.8983 | 0.8886 | 0.8819 | 0.8945 | 0.8710 | 0.8976 |
|  | K7988 | 0.8658 | 0.8861 | 0.8598 | 0.8821 | 0.8873 | 0.8738 | 0.8803 | 0.8843 | 0.8715 | 0.8936 |
| Pl-475846 | KGBSPSc 30071 | 0.8648 | 0.8807 | 0.8699 | 0.8823 | 0.9007 | 0.8806 | 0.8859 | 0.8967 | 0.8745 | 0.9045 |
| Pl-497268 | KSSc 38904 | 0.8646 | 0.8870 | 0.8760 | 0.8783 | 0.9044 | 0.8849 | 0.8845 | 0.8836 | 0.8750 | 0.9011 |
| Pl-497267 | KSSc 38903 | 0.8640 | 0.8798 | 0.8649 | 0.8856 | 0.8968 | 0.8855 | 0.8819 | 0.8858 | 0.8738 | 0.8981 |
| PI-219823 | No data | 0.8640 | 0.8868 | 0.8640 | 0.8845 | 0.8940 | 0.8774 | 0.8856 | 0.8851 | 0.8745 | 0.8948 |
| PI-497266 | KSSc 38900 | 0.8630 | 0.8937 | 0.8675 | 0.8786 | 0.8986 | 0.8827 | 0.8755 | 0.8915 | 0.8842 | 0.9027 |
|  | Se3350 | 0.8622 | 0.8768 | 0.8661 | 0.8817 | 0.8933 | 0.8856 | 0.8806 | 0.8869 | 0.8655 | 0.8938 |
| Pl-475845 | KGBSPSc 30070 | 0.8534 | 0.8753 | 0.8651 | 0.8837 | 0.8925 | 0.8808 | 0.8782 | 0.8834 | 0.8652 | 0.8974 |
|  | Se3146 | 0.8506 | 0.8832 | 0.8616 | 0.8612 | 0.8882 | 0.8658 | 0.8691 | 0.8764 | 0.8622 | 0.8836 |
| Pl-497484 | KSSc 38902 | 0.8504 | 0.8827 | 0.8603 | 0.8735 | 0.8874 | 0.8804 | 0.8727 | 0.8825 | 0.8651 | 0.8849 |
| Pl-468319 | KGBSPSc 30073 | 0.8493 | 0.8742 | 0.8620 | 0.8814 | 0.8921 | 0.8867 | 0.8733 | 0.8833 | 0.8619 | 0.8875 |
| Pl-468203 | GKBSPSc 30064 | 0.8491 | 0.8823 | 0.8671 | 0.8811 | 0.8956 | 0.8853 | 0.8731 | 0.8812 | 0.8645 | 0.8872 |
| Grif-15039 | WiSVgJsQ 1507 | 0.8465 | 0.8638 | 0.8622 | 0.8606 | 0.8715 | 0.8645 | 0.8591 | 0.8760 | 0.8626 | 0.8668 |
| Grif-14264 | WiSVg 1275 | 0.8461 | 0.8631 | 0.8590 | 0.8619 | 0.8702 | 0.8675 | 0.8633 | 0.8724 | 0.8615 | 0.8641 |
|  | Se3139 | 0.8458 | 0.8766 | 0.8547 | 0.8789 | 0.8860 | 0.8704 | 0.8652 | 0.8749 | 0.8623 | 0.8748 |
| Pl-475885 | KSBScC 36005 | 0.8450 | 0.8649 | 0.8420 | 0.8375 | 0.8563 | 0.8563 | 0.8521 | 0.8642 | 0.8346 | 0.8426 |
| PI-468323 | KGBSPSc 30077 | 0.8447 | 0.8856 | 0.8593 | 0.8796 | 0.8968 | 0.8686 | 0.8701 | 0.8785 | 0.8626 | 0.8751 |
| PI-468320 | KGBSPSc 30074 | 0.8441 | 0.8803 | 0.8600 | 0.8799 | 0.8935 | 0.8813 | 0.8700 | 0.8773 | 0.8589 | 0.8839 |
| PI-468321 | KGBSPSc 30075 | 0.8426 | 0.8785 | 0.8529 | 0.8666 | 0.8799 | 0.8729 | 0.8690 | 0.8719 | 0.8626 | 0.8730 |
| Pl-497483 | KSSc 38901 | 0.8423 | 0.8768 | 0.8538 | 0.8677 | 0.8778 | 0.8726 | 0.8662 | 0.8746 | 0.8637 | 0.8774 |
| Grif-14263 | WiSVg 1274 | 0.8389 | 0.8529 | 0.8554 | 0.8465 | 0.8601 | 0.8570 | 0.8486 | 0.8664 | 0.8536 | 0.8545 |
| Grif-15036 | Pl 666084/WiSVg 1510-B | 0.8389 | 0.8563 | 0.8565 | 0.8516 | 0.8630 | 0.8590 | 0.8525 | 0.8675 | 0.8575 | 0.8579 |
|  | K30078 | 0.8372 | 0.8456 | 0.8469 | 0.8432 | 0.8688 | 0.8306 | 0.8414 | 0.8539 | 0.8260 | 0.8497 |
| Grif-15035 | WiSVgJsQ 1510-A | 0.8353 | 0.8562 | 0.8526 | 0.8453 | 0.8565 | 0.8581 | 0.8493 | 0.8642 | 0.8531 | 0.8583 |
| Grif-15038 | WiSVgJsQ1506-W | 0.8325 | 0.8507 | 0.8502 | 0.8512 | 0.8575 | 0.8517 | 0.8472 | 0.8639 | 0.8514 | 0.8531 |
| Grif-14261 | WiSVg 1268 | 0.8315 | 0.8450 | 0.8509 | 0.8427 | 0.8520 | 0.8494 | 0.8437 | 0.8607 | 0.8490 | 0.8481 |
| Grif-14262 | WiSVg 1270 | 0.8307 | 0.8494 | 0.8518 | 0.8423 | 0.8509 | 0.8500 | 0.8427 | 0.8614 | 0.8486 | 0.8496 |
| Grif-15037 | ノ666085/WiSVgJsQ1506-i | 0.8286 | 0.8475 | 0.8495 | 0.8456 | 0.8544 | 0.8500 | 0.8464 | 0.8629 | 0.8504 | 0.8506 |
|  | K30077 | 0.8283 | 0.8535 | 0.8422 | 0.8452 | 0.8382 | 0.8539 | 0.8372 | 0.8587 | 0.8319 | 0.8281 |

[^1]
## Supplementary Table 10

Tetraploid / diploid chromosomal pseudomolecule sizes and ratios for whole chromosomes and and segments inverted relative

| Chroms. | Aradu | A subgenome | Tet/Dip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 107035537 | 112420854 | 1.05 |
| 02 | 93869048 | 102981163 | 1.1 |
| 03 | 135057546 | 143813506 | 1.06 |
| 04 | 123556382 | 128801742 | 1.04 |
| 05 | 110037037 | 115930344 | 1.05 |
| 06 | 112752717 | 115504342 | 1.02 |
| 07 | 79126724 | 81119488 | 1.03 |
| 08 | 49462234 | 51897010 | 1.05 |
| 09 | 120672674 | 120519698 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 109463236 | 117088237 | 1.07 |


| Choms. | Araip | B subgenome | Tet/Dip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 11$ | 137414913 | 149299306 | 1.09 |
| $02 / 12$ | 108997779 | 120579088 | 1.11 |
| $03 / 13$ | 136109863 | 146725006 | 1.08 |
| $04 / 14$ | 133615181 | 143237272 | 1.07 |
| $05 / 15$ | 149900536 | 160879708 | 1.07 |
| $06 / 16$ | 137147148 | 154808347 | 1.13 |
| $07 / 17$ | 126351151 | 134922436 | 1.07 |
| $08 / 18$ | 129606920 | 135150084 | 1.04 |
| $09 / 19$ | 147089397 | 158625764 | 1.08 |
| $10 / 20$ | 136175642 | 143980330 | 1.06 |

"New"* inverted chromosome segments
"New" inverted chromosome segments

|  | Aradu | A sub genome | Tet/Dip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | 32093060 | 28515710 | 0.89 |
| 07 | 8496956 | 8930392 | 1.05 |


|  | Araip | B sub genome | Tet/Dip |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 01 | 9862242 | 10619870 | 1.08 |

Almost all chromosomal pseudomolecules in the tetraploid assembly are slightly larger than the corresponding chromosomal pseudomolecules in the diploids. Only the inversion on Arahy. 05 is significantly smaller. *Evidence from genetic mapping supports the inversion in Arahy. 07 being present in the diploid ancestor.

# Supplementary Table 11 <br> Collection localities and overall exome similarity metrics of $A$. duranensis <br> accessions (and control species) to A subgenome of $A$. hypogaea cv . Tifrunner 



Notes:

1) Germplasm accessions with "PI" or "Grif" denominations are generally available from the USDA Germplasm Resources Information Network (https://www.ars-grin.gov)
2) The top ranked two accessions KGBSPSc 30067 and KGBSPSc 30065 were both collected during an expedition in 1977 between $15^{\text {th }}$ March and $19^{\text {th }}$ May by A. Krapovickas, W.C. Gregory, D.J. Banks, J.R. Pietrarelli, A. Schinini \& C.E. Simpson. Remarkably, this was the same expedition where A. ipaensis KGBSPSc 30076, the probable present day representative of the B subgenome donor of Arachis hypogaea (Bertioli et al 2016), was collected (Krapovickas et al 2007; "KGBSPSc" is mostly referred to in the abbreviated form "K"). We are greatly indebted to these collectors with their ingenuity and adventurous spirits, the funding agencies and Institutions that supported them, and the regulatory environment that allowed the collection and distribution of these collections to seed banks world-wide. (Sadly, since these collections, regulations following from the Convention on Biological Diversity, implemented in 1993, the Nagoya Protocol and the Andean Pact have seriously undercut the ability to collect and share germplasm.)

## Supplementary Table 12

Genomic libraries included in the Arachis hypogaea genome assembly and their respective assembled sequence coverage levels in the final release.
PACBIO reads were used for the assembly, Illumina reads for polishing homozygous
SNPs and indels, HiC was used for scaffolding
*Average read length of PACBIO reads

| Library | Sequencing <br> Platform | Average Insert <br> Size | Read <br> Size (bp) | Read Number | Assembled <br> Sequence <br> Coverage (x) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PACBIO |  | $\mathbf{9 , 7 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 4 7 , 7 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 7 4}$ |
| ICIH | Illumina-Frag | 800 | $2 \times 250$ | $294,724,162$ | 29.59 |
| ICID | Illumina-Frag | 800 | $2 \times 250$ | $333,638,898$ | 33.50 |
| Aragment Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 2 8 , 3 6 3 , 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 0 9}$ |
| AAAA | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 85$ | $33,208,834$ | 1.13 |
| AAAB | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 85$ | $28,934,386$ | 0.99 |
| AAAC | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 85$ | $30,030,102$ | 1.03 |
| AAAD | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 85$ | $26,565,192$ | 0.91 |
| AAAE | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 150$ | $211,752,480$ | 12.75 |
| AAAF | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 150$ | $12,366,688$ | 0.74 |
| AAAG | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 150$ | $415,779,674$ | 25.04 |
| AAAH | Illumina-HiC |  | $2 \times 150$ | $21,117,210$ | 1.27 |
| Hi-C Total |  |  | $\mathbf{7 7 9 , 7 5 4 , 5 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8 6}$ |  |

## Supplementary Table 13

PACBIO library statistics for single pass yield of the 51 chips included in the Arachis hypogaea genome assembly and their respective assembled sequence coverage levels

| Cutoff | Number of Reads | Basepairs | Average Read <br> Length | Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $17,747,784$ | $207,196,434,170$ | 9,784 | $76.74 x$ |
| 1000 | $16,984,792$ | $206,776,022,418$ | 10,262 | $76.58 x$ |
| 2000 | $16,068,940$ | $205,392,960,654$ | 10,854 | $76.07 x$ |
| 3000 | $15,083,004$ | $202,928,022,234$ | 11,523 | $75.16 x$ |
| 4000 | $14,105,835$ | $199,510,501,164$ | 12,217 | $73.89 x$ |
| 5000 | $13,153,838$ | $195,229,606,670$ | 12,928 | $72.31 x$ |
| 6000 | $12,219,149$ | $190,089,983,704$ | 13,657 | $70.40 x$ |
| 7000 | $11,296,495$ | $184,094,072,448$ | 14,407 | $68.18 x$ |
| 8000 | $10,394,841$ | $177,334,261,665$ | 15,169 | $65.68 x$ |
| 9000 | $9,524,492$ | $169,939,908,810$ | 15,950 | $62.94 x$ |
| 10000 | $8,699,996$ | $162,111,554,928$ | 16,738 | $60.04 x$ |
| 11000 | $7,925,503$ | $153,984,133,737$ | 17,525 | $57.03 x$ |
| 12000 | $7,203,695$ | $145,687,893,797$ | 18,309 | $53.96 x$ |
| 13000 | $6,530,054$ | $137,271,980,668$ | 19,096 | $50.84 x$ |
| 14000 | $5,896,752$ | $128,725,684,685$ | 19,896 | $47.68 x$ |
| 15000 | $5,295,774$ | $120,014,218,754$ | 20,716 | $44.45 x$ |
| 16000 | $4,735,569$ | $111,335,189,066$ | 21,553 | $41.24 x$ |
| 17000 | $4,219,000$ | $102,815,474,357$ | 22,401 | $38.08 x$ |
| 18000 | $3,741,661$ | $94,465,942,929$ | 23,266 | $34.99 x$ |
| 19000 | $25,272,751$ | $808,466,536,231$ | 24,147 | $32.00 x$ |

## Supplementary Table 14

Summary statistics of the raw output of the MECAT whole genome shotgun assembly. The table shows total contigs and total assembled basepairs for each set of scaffolds greater than the size listed in the left hand column

| Minimum <br> Scaffold <br> Length | Number of | Number of | Scaffolds | Contigs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Mb | 23 | 23 | $150,942,560$ | $150,942,560$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2.5 Mb | 97 | 97 | $404,936,510$ | $404,936,510$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 1 Mb | 501 | 502 | $1,001,909,947$ | $1,001,909,795$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 500 Kb | 1,247 | 1,249 | $1,512,793,957$ | $1,512,793,073$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 250 Kb | 2,591 | 2,595 | $1,988,505,988$ | $1,988,499,990$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 100 Kb | 4,778 | 4,783 | $2,349,231,029$ | $2,349,223,361$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 50 Kb | 6,252 | 6,258 | $2,456,140,461$ | $2,456,132,755$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 25 Kb | 7,303 | 7,309 | $2,495,638,348$ | $2,495,630,642$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 10 Kb | 7,662 | 7,668 | $2,502,304,643$ | $2,502,296,937$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 5 Kb | 7,692 | 7,698 | $2,502,553,973$ | $2,502,546,267$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2.5 Kb | 7,692 | 7,698 | $2,502,553,973$ | $2,502,546,267$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 1 Kb | 7,692 | 7,698 | $2,502,553,973$ | $2,502,546,267$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 0 bp | 7,692 | 7,698 | $2,502,553,973$ | $2,502,546,267$ | $100.00 \%$ |

Supplementary Table 15
Summary of the tetrasomic regions identified
and duplicated in the final release assembly

| Homeologous pair <br> $\mathbf{0 1 / 1 1}$ | Size of Region <br> N/A | Duplicated From | Added to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 2 / 1 2}$ | $2,322,927$ | bottom of Arahy. 12 | bottom of Arahy. 02 |
| $\mathbf{0 3 / 1 3}$ | 342,613 | bottom of Arahy. 03 | bottom of Arahy.13 |
| $\mathbf{0 4 / 1 4}$ | $2,767,012$ | bottom of Arahy.14 | bottom of Arahy.04 |
| $\mathbf{0 5 / 1 5}$ | $6,264,747$ | top of Arahy.05 | top of Arahy.15 |
| $\mathbf{0 6 / 1 6}$ | $2,501,280$ | bottom of Arahy.06 | bottom of Arahy.16 |
| $\mathbf{0 7 / 1 8}$ | 579,447 | bottom of Arahy.07 | top of Arahy. 18 |
| $\mathbf{0 9 / 1 9}$ | 193,833 | top of Arahy.09 | top of Arahy. 19 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 / 2 0}$ | N/A |  |  |

## Supplementary Table 16 <br> Summary of sequences used for transcript assembly

|  | Tifrunner | Florida-07 | Gregory | NC 3033 | C76-16 | A72 | Reference | \# Cleaned reads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetative and reproductive development | X |  |  |  |  |  | Clevenger et al. 2016. Front. Plant Sci. | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
| Preharvest aflatoxin | X | X |  | X | X | X | Clevenger et al. 2016. Toxins | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| Postharvest aflatoxin |  | X |  |  |  |  | Korani et al. 2018. Genetics | $8.6 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| Nematode |  |  | X |  |  |  | Clevenger et al. 2017. Sci. Rep. | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| Late leaf spot ( $0,2,4,5,8,20 \mathrm{~d}$ post-inoculation) |  | X |  |  |  |  | unpublished | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| Nodulation ( $0,6,10,15,21 \mathrm{~d}$ post-inoculation) | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  | unpublished | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| Seed and pericarp development (R3,R4-5,R6,R7 stages) |  |  |  | X |  |  | unpublished | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL 5.9E+09 |

## Supplementary Figures for

The genome sequence of segmental allotetraploid peanut Arachis hypogaea

## Overview legend for Supplementary Figures 1-12

Figures show a dot plot for each homeologous pair of chromosomes from the A and B subgenomes of Arachis hypogaea cv. Tifrunner. Colored bars along the axes indicate the genome compositions. Bars are colored with dots indicating assignable ancestral single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), red for ancestral B genome and blue for ancestral A genome.

## Supplementary Figure 1

Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 01 vs. Arahy. 11


Supplementary Figure 2
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 02 vs. Arahy. 12


## Supplementary Figure 3

Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 03 vs. Arahy. 13


Supplementary Figure 4
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 04 vs. Arahy. 14


Supplementary Figure 5
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 05 vs. Arahy. 15


Supplementary Figure 6
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 06 vs. Arahy. 16


## Supplementary Figure 7

Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 07 vs. Arahy. 17


Supplementary Figure 8
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 08 vs. Arahy. 17


## Supplementary Figure 9

Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 07 vs. Arahy. 18


Supplementary Figure 10
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 08 vs. Arahy. 18


## Supplementary Figure 11

Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 09 vs. Arahy. 19


Supplementary Figure 12
Comparison and genome compositions of Arahy. 10 vs. Arahy. 20


Arahy. 20

## Supplemental Figure 13

Heatmap of gene density along the 20 Arachis hypogaea cv. Tifrunner chromosomal pseudomolecules.
The gradient color corresponds to the number of genes within 1 Mb windows


## Supplemental Figure 14

Heatmap of TE density along the 20 Arachis hypogaea cv. Tifrunner chromosomal pseudomolecules.
The gradient color corresponds to the percentage coverage of TEs within 200 kbp windows
TE density



## Supplementary Figure 15

 inheritance of chloroplast plasmid
chloroplast plasmid
other extrachromosomal circular DNAs

## Supplementary Figure 16

## Methylation in and near genes

Metaplots showing methylation for $\sim 15,000$ genes with annotated $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ untranslated regions (UTRs) and flanking regions at CG (pink), CHG (green) and CHH (yellow) sites (where H is a non G base). Scale on x -axis is in bp. Genes show typical patterns of methylation observed in other species: $\mathrm{mCG}, \mathrm{mCHG}$, and mCHH methylation levels are higher upstream and downstream of gene bodies. mCG methylation decreases considerably around transcription start sites (TSS), increases across the gene-bodies, and decreases at transcription termination sites (TES), whereas mCHG and mCHH are low across entire gene-bodies


## Supplementary Figure 17

Methylation percentage in chromosomal pseudomolecules of $A$. hypogaea at CG (in fuchsia), CHG (in green) and CHH (in yellow) sites.

Values where calculated in 200 kb windows.


Supplemental Figure 18: Metaplots of gene bodies and flanking regions in A and B-subgenomes comparing (a) methylation percentage (CG, CHG and CHH) and (b) siRNAs count ( 21,22 and 24 nt ). A homeologs (blue), B homeologs (red). TSS : transcriptional start site, TES : transcriptional end site. the p -values for significance of difference correspond to Wilcoxon rank-sum statistical test. ns: not significant, * $: ~ P \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *}: P \leq 0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}: \leq 0.001,{ }^{* * * *}: \mathrm{P} \leq 0.0001$.


## Supplemental Figure 19: densities of DNA sequences corresponding to sRNAs

 in chromosomal pseudomolecules of $\boldsymbol{A}$. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner$21 n t$ (in red), $22 n t$ (in green) and $24 n t$ (in blue) length.
Values where calculated in 200 kb windows.

$$
\text { Size }-21 n t-22 n t-24 n t
$$



Supplemental Figure 20: densities of DNA sequences corresponding to uniquely mapping sRNAs in chromosomal pseudomolecules of A. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner.
21 nt (in red), 22 nt (in green) and 24nt (in blue) length. Values where calculated in 200 kb windows.
Size - $21 n t-22 n t-24 n t$


## Supplementary Figure 21

Homeolog expression differences in A. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner. Homeologs were compared to check for differences in gene expression levels in different tissues and developmental stages of peanut pods. The number of homeologous genes more
highly expressed ( $\log _{2}$ fold change $\geq 1$, Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted $P<0.05$; Wald test) in each subgenome is represented. $P$-value correspond to binomial test with the odds of A genes being more highly expressed at 0.5 probability.

* $: P<0.05$, others : not significant.



## Supplementary Figure 22

Classification of homeologous pairs by expression patterns. Differentially expressed pairs ( $\mathrm{n}=15,328$ ) in tissues and developmental stages of pods in A. hypogaea were classified into five categories: i) highly asymmetrically expressed, $\log _{2}$ expression ratios > 3 ; ii) consistently asymmetrically expressed, same expression pattern in at least half of the tissues; iii) moderate difference $\log _{2}$ expression ratios $\geq 1$ and $<3$; iv) no difference; and v) unmappable/not expressed. The inset plot
shows the distribution of highly and consistently asymmetrically expressed pairs in each subgenome.


## Supplementary Figure 23

Top 10 Gene Ontology terms (in each of three categories - biological process, cellular component and molecular function) enriched ( $P<0.05$, Fisher's exact test) among a) highly asymmetrically expressed and b) consistently asymmetrically expressed homeolog pairs.


## Supplementary Figure 24

Schematic tree of relationships of species used in identifying single nucleotide polymorphisms characteristic of ancestral $A$ and $B$ genomes. We consider the topography of relationships extremely strongly supported: by biogeography, cross-compatibilities of species and accessions
(Krapovickas et al 2007; Moretzsohn et al 2009), karyotypes (Robledo et al 2009; Robledo et al 2010)
and molecular phylogenies (Moretzsohn et al 2013).


Tree not to scale.

## Supplementary Figure 25

This Figure is a supplement for Fig. 2 in main manuscript. Overview of $A / B$ genome compositions in selected $A$. hypogaea and $A$. monticola that show variations in genome structures visible on this scale and using these methods. Unusual variations are highlighted with with colored arrows and brackets. (note how the deviations in structure in the two right hand plots, at the top of A02/B02 (02/12) are in opposite directions)

$\log 2$ of ratio of mapping densities onto orthologous genes $A / B$

## Supplementary Figure 26

## Ancestral allele loss in a selection of A. monticola and A. hypogaea

Estimated proportion of ancestral alleles lost by homeologous recombination and deletions, in one A. monticola and 38 A. hypogaea accessions. Genotypes are Tifrunner, NC3303, and the "China landraces and modern cultivars" genotypes (Dataset 2). Each genotype is represented by 20 dots. Illumina whole genome sequences were mapped to the $B$ subgenome of Tifrunner; 825,960 ancestral A and B alleles were inferred from their differentiation of representatives of A and B genome diploid species (Supplementary Fig. 24). The raw counts that generated this graph are in Dataset 5, file Data-AB-SNP-counts-high-coverage.txt.


## Arahy Chromosome

The analysis was done using the Tifrunner B subgenome as reference
homeologous A subgenome chromosomes are shown in parenthesis

## Supplementary Figure 27

## Extrachromosomal circular DNAs detected in diploid and tetraploid Arachis

Depths of coverage of mapped reads from extrachromosomal circular DNA onto the abundant transposable elements detected in the libraries. Genotypes on left. Colors indicate the presence of SNPs, maximum coverage is indicated on the right. Mu4-1 is a DNA MULE transposon, Zuhe is a Ty3gypsy LTR transposon, Yara is a Ty1-copia LTR transposon. No abundant circular DNAs were detected in hybrids or $A$. hypogaea that were not detected in one or both of the ancestral diploids.
A. duranensis V14167
A. ipaensis K30076
A. ipaensis $\times$ A. duranensis (2n)
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## Supplementary Figure 28 <br> Mobile elements recently inserted near Tifrunner genes

Recently inserted transposons located in or near annotated genes in the Tifrunner genome. Mutator-like elements (MULEs) dominate detected transposon activity near genes.


Supplementary Fig 29
Repeat counts in inverted and non-inverted regions of the tetraploid chromosomes of $A$. hypogaea cv . Tifrunner



## Supplementary Figure 30

Percentage identities ( y axes) in 10 kb windows of three different $A$. duranensis accessions vs. distance along $A$. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner Arahy. 01 (x axis). Estimated by alignment of Illumina whole genome sequences to Arahy. 01
(a) PI-468202, from Rio Seco, Argentina, one of the two accessions with highest DNA similarity to the A subgenome of $A$. hypogaea;
(b) V14167 from Salta, Argentina, with a sequenced genome (Bertioli et al 2015);
(c) PI-475845 from Tarija, Bolivia, with a partially assembled genome (Chen et al 2016)
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## Supplementary Figure 31

Recorded pedigree of $A$. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner
Basse, Dixie Giant and PI 203396 are thought to be A. hypogaea subsp. hypogaea var. hypogaea
Spanish 18-38 and Small White Spanish may be A. hypogaea subsp. fastigiata var. vulgaris (but not verified in this work)


## Supplementary Figure 32

Contact map visualization produced by the software Juicebox for the 20 chromosomal pseudomolecules of $A$. hypogaea cv. Tifrunner


## Supplementary Figure 33

Dot plot of BAC contig 159899 on a region of Arahy.01. This alignment is representative of the high quality alignments in 79 of the 175 available BAC contigs.


## Supplementary Figure 34

Dot plot of BAC contig 97682 on a region of Arahy.10, which is representative of the 86 contigs from repetitive regions of the genome.


## Supplementary Figure 35

Dot plot of BAC contig 140600 on a region of Arahy. 17 , which is representative of the 10 that align to an area where the adjacent genome contigs indicate that they overlap.
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